

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigania 22313-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/594,302	06/14/2000	Craig William Payne	PHCM.P0026	7726	
75	590 05/20/2003 ·				
Alan D Minsk			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Openwave System Inc 1400 Seaport Blvd			NGUYEN, DAVID Q		
Redwood City,	CA 94063		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2681	Q	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- Y
Advisory Action	09/594,302	PAYNE ET AL. Art Unit 2681 Trespondence address FOR ALLOWANCE. Ion. A proper reply to a places the application in filled Request for Continued In the final rejection, whichever is later. It is the final rejection. If FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension in the final office action; or ing date of the final rejection, even if final set forth in the appeal. The NOTE below); Ally reducing or simplifying the ally rejected claims. The arate, timely filed amendment the ered but does NOT place the issues which were newly Will be entered and an in or appended.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Q Nguyen	2681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the comment which a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply to a places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens unt of the fee. The appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action;	sion sion
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) Key raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	••		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying th	e
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendmen	ıt
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
			•

DN David Nguyen (703)605-4254



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposal admendment to claims 1, 35, "providing an option to allow a user of the wireless communication device to reply to the message entity" and 23, "instructions to configure the wireless device in response to identification of the found contact identifier associated with the predetermined class" raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

DWAYNE BOST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER